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Abstract

Obesity is a significant public health issue with increasing prevalence among middle-aged and older adults. The present study tested whether subjective age, that is how old or young individuals perceive themselves to be, is related to both BMI and waist circumference in five samples of middle-aged and older adults (total N > 24,000; aged 34 to 105 years). Cross-sectional analyses that accounted for demographic variables revealed that an older subjective age was related to higher BMI and waist circumference in the five samples. Feeling older was related to a 10–20% higher likelihood of BMI ≥ 30 and a 11–25% higher likelihood of exceeding the obesity-related threshold for waist circumference. For most associations, age felt was more consistently and strongly related to adiposity than chronological age. The overall pattern was confirmed by a meta-analysis of the five samples. The present research adds subjective age to the list of factors related to obesity across adulthood.

Method

Participants

Participants were drawn from the Wisconsin Longitudinal Study graduate (WLSG) and sibling (WLSS) samples, the Midlife in the United States Survey (MIDUS), the Health and Retirement Study (HRS), and the National Health and Aging Trends Survey (NHATS). In the five samples, participants with complete data on subjective age, BMI, waist circumference, and demographic factors were included. Descriptive statistics are presented in Supplementary Table 1. The large sample sizes in each sample provided sufficient power (>80%) to detect small effects.
The Wisconsin Longitudinal Study (WLS) is a long-term study of a random sample of 10,317 men and women who graduated from Wisconsin high schools in 1957. The study includes selected siblings (WLSS) of some of the graduates (WLSG). Complete data were obtained in 2011 from 4275 WLSG participants (53% women, mean age $= 71.18$, SD $= 0.90$) and from 2287 WLSS participants (52% women, mean age $= 69.21$, SD $= 6.66$).

The MIDUS is a sample of non-institutionalized, English-speaking adults. Data were drawn from the second wave (2004–2009, MIDUS II) from a total of 973 participants (54% women, mean age $= 55.37$, SD $= 11.78$).

The HRS is a national longitudinal study of Americans older than 50 years and their spouses. Data were drawn from face-to-face interviews in 2012 (for a random half of the sample) and 2014 (for the other half of the sample) and were pooled, resulting in a total of 11,136 participants (59% women, mean age $= 68.01$, SD $= 10.27$).

The NHATS is a nationally representative prospective cohort study of Medicare enrollees aged 65 years and older. Data were collected at the first wave in 2011 ($n = 6012$, 57% women, mean age $= 76.88$, SD $= 7.56$).

### Measures

#### Subjective age

In the five samples, a single question asked participants to indicate how old they felt in years. Chronological age was subtracted from felt age and then divided by chronological age, which results in a proportional discrepancy score [7]. A positive score indicates an older subjective age, whereas a negative score indicates a younger subjective age. In all samples, participants with scores three standard deviations above or below the mean were excluded: WLSG ($n = 64$), the WLSS ($n = 31$), the MIDUS II ($n = 34$), the HRS ($n = 34$), and the NHATS ($n = 97$).

### Adiposity

BMI was derived as kg/m$^2$ from weight and height assessed by trained staff (an interviewer or a nurse) in the WLSG, the WLSS, the MIDUS II, and the HRS. Weight was measured using a scale in these four samples after shoes, heavy objects, and bulky clothing were removed. Height was measured by asking participants to stand against a wall, without shoes. A mark was made on the wall and height was measured as the distance from the floor to the mark. In the NHATS, participants were asked to report their weight and height. Waist circumference was measured at the level of the navel in the five samples by the interviewer or a nurse.

### Data analysis

Linear regression was conducted in the five samples to examine whether subjective age was related to BMI and waist circumference. Age, sex, and education were included as covariates. Race was also included in MIDUS II, HRS, and NHATS. Race was not included in the WLS samples because these samples only included white participants, which is representative of Wisconsin in the 1950s (~99% white). Logistic regression that included the same set of covariates was conducted to test whether subjective age was associated with obesity, defined as a BMI $\geq 30$, and waist circumference.

### Table 1  Linear regression analysis predicting BMI and waist circumference from subjective age in the five samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>WLSG</th>
<th>WLSS</th>
<th>MIDUS II</th>
<th>NHATS</th>
<th>HRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.08***</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.06***</td>
<td>0.27***</td>
<td>0.10***</td>
<td>0.33***</td>
<td>0.08***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>− − −</td>
<td>− − −</td>
<td>− − −</td>
<td>− − −</td>
<td>−0.09***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>−0.11***</td>
<td>−0.08***</td>
<td>−0.10***</td>
<td>−0.07***</td>
<td>−0.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective age</td>
<td>0.13***</td>
<td>0.14***</td>
<td>0.08***</td>
<td>0.10***</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random effect</td>
<td>0.08 (0.046–0.109)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity (I$^2$)</td>
<td>80.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random effect</td>
<td>0.10 (0.076–0.123)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity (I$^2$)</td>
<td>65.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: WLSG: $N = 4275$; WLSS: $N = 2287$; MIDUS II: $N = 973$; NHATS: $N = 6012$; HRS: $N = 11136$

Coefficients are standardized coefficients. For subjective age, higher values indicate feeling older

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$
BMI and waist circumference in four out of the five samples revealed that an older subjective age was related to higher BMI and waist circumference. Consistent with our hypothesis, linear regression analysis revealed that an older subjective age was associated with a 10–20% higher likelihood of BMI ≥ 30 (Table 2), and a 11–25% higher likelihood of exceeding the obesity-related threshold for waist circumference (Table 2). The meta-analysis confirmed the overall pattern of associations. Individuals with an older subjective age had higher BMI and waist circumference compared to those with a younger subjective age (Supplementary Table 3).

### Results

Consistent with our hypothesis, linear regression analysis revealed that an older subjective age was related to higher BMI and waist circumference in four out of the five samples (Table 1). The meta-analyses confirmed the association between subjective age and both BMI and waist circumference, but also found significant heterogeneity. Supplemental bootstrap analysis (N = 5000) further revealed that lower physical activity and higher depressive symptoms partially mediated the relationships observed between an older subjective age and adiposity in most samples (Supplementary Table 2). And, although the total relationship between subjective age and adiposity was not significant in the MIDUS II, there was an indirect effect through physical activity and depressive symptoms (Supplementary Table 2).

Logistic regression analysis revealed that an older subjective age was predictive of a greater likelihood of obesity, except in the MIDUS II. Across the four samples, a SD older subjective age was associated with a 10–20% higher likelihood of BMI ≥ 30 (Table 2), and a 11–25% higher likelihood of exceeding the obesity-related threshold for waist circumference (Table 2). The meta-analysis confirmed the overall pattern of associations. Individuals with an older subjective age had higher BMI and waist circumference compared to those with a younger subjective age (Supplementary Table 3).

### Discussion

The present study provides consistent evidence for an association between subjective age and adiposity markers in five samples of middle-aged and older adults. Specifically, an older subjective age was related to higher BMI and waist circumference and a higher likelihood of obesity. Therefore, the present research adds subjective age to the list of factors related to obesity across adulthood. It contributes to the literature by examining the largest sample size to date and by including both waist circumference and BMI.

Our supplemental analysis revealed that individuals who felt older were more likely to be obese in part because they were less physically active and had more depressive symptoms. Several additional pathways may also explain this association with adiposity. At the behavioral level, feeling older may be related to unbalanced diet that leads to higher obesity risk. At the psychological level, individuals who feel older tend to score lower on conscientiousness [14] and are more likely to report maladaptive stress reactivity [15], which in turn are implicated in overweight and

---

**Table 2** Logistic regression predicting obesity from subjective age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WLSG Odds ratios (95% CI)</th>
<th>WLSS Odds ratios (95% CI)</th>
<th>MIDUS II Odds ratios (95% CI)</th>
<th>NHATS Odds ratios (95% CI)</th>
<th>HRS Odds ratios (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.99 (0.95–1.03)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97–0.99)***</td>
<td>0.99 (0.98–1.00)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.93–0.95)***</td>
<td>0.99 (0.97–0.98)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.39 (1.23–1.57)***</td>
<td>1.52 (1.28–1.80)***</td>
<td>1.15 (0.88–1.50)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.67–0.85)***</td>
<td>0.92 (0.85–0.99)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.65 (0.37–1.12)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.63–0.81)***</td>
<td>0.78 (0.71–0.86)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.82 (0.77–0.87)***</td>
<td>0.81 (0.74–0.88)***</td>
<td>0.84 (0.74–0.96)*</td>
<td>0.87 (0.82–0.93)***</td>
<td>0.95 (0.94–0.96)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective age</td>
<td>1.21 (1.14–1.29)***</td>
<td>1.11 (1.02–1.21)*</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.00)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.11–1.25)***</td>
<td>1.10 (1.06–1.14)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>1.11 (1.02–1.21)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity (I^2)</td>
<td>94.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: WLSG: N = 4275; WLSS: N = 2287; MIDUS II: N = 973; NHATS: N = 6012; HRS: N = 11136

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

Circumference of ≥ 102 cm (40 in.) for men and ≥ 88 cm (35 in.) for women.

The results from each sample were combined in a random-effects meta-analysis to provide a quantitative synthesis of the relation between subjective age and both BMI and waist circumference.
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