

SHORT NOTE

Cultural influences in somatosensory amplification and their association with negative affective statesKeiko Ishii *Department of Cognitive and Psychological Sciences, Graduate School of Informatics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan*

Previous research has indicated that, reflecting East Asians' holistic attentional style, they are likely to emphasize more somatic symptoms and perceive their internal visceral states less accurately as compared with Westerners. Based on these findings, comparing representative samples of Americans and Japanese participants, this research examined whether somatosensory amplification would vary across cultures. Moreover, by controlling confounding factors, including neuroticism and chronic disorders, the possibility that the association between somatosensory amplification and negative affective states differs across cultures also was tested. The results showed that Japanese exhibit higher somatosensory amplification than do Americans. In both cultures, as neuroticism and the number of chronic disorders increased, negative affective states also increased, leading to higher somatosensory amplification. Whereas negative affective states completely mediated the paths of neuroticism and chronic disorders to somatosensory amplification in the United States, such mediation was partial in Japan. Moreover, the association between somatosensory amplification and negative affective states was weaker in Japanese than in Americans.

Keywords: chronic disorders, culture, negative affective states, neuroticism, somatosensory amplification

Evidence has suggested that the shared ideas and beliefs and scripted behavioural patterns of norms in a culture influence various psychological processes, including self-perception (e.g., Kanagawa, Cross, & Markus, 2001), cognition (e.g., Nisbett, Peng, Choi, & Norenzayan, 2001), and mental and physical health (e.g., Kitayama et al., 2015; Ryder et al., 2008). Despite a growing number of studies addressing cultural differences in psychological processes, few have investigated cultural influences in somatic awareness. Do cultures influence the extent to which individuals pay attention to their bodies? Do cultures moderate the effects of related factors such as psychological distress on somatic awareness? The present research asks these questions, focusing on somatosensory amplification and using two nationally representative samples from the United States and Japan.

Barsky, Goodson, Lane, and Cleary (1988) proposed that somatosensory amplification indicates a tendency to experience somatic and visceral sensations as unusually intense, noxious, and disturbing. They assumed that

somatosensory amplification involves hypervigilance, a tendency to focus on weak and rare sensations, and the disposition to reappraise somatic sensations as more alarming, ominous, and disturbing. While the assumption is made for the amplification of internal body sensations, somatosensory amplification has recently been considered as the amplification of various types of perceived threats—including external ones—to the integrity of the body (Köteles & Witthöft, 2017). Previous studies have suggested that somatosensory amplification reflects individual differences in personality traits and emotional states. For example, neuroticism, which is a personality trait referring to the extent to which individuals experience negative distress emotions, is considered to be related to somatosensory amplification (e.g., Costa & McCrae, 1987; De Gucht, Fischler, & Heiser, 2004). Chronic medical conditions and the experience of psychological distress, including negative affect and anxiety, also are related to somatosensory amplification, as the conditions and experience related to health concerns likely lead individuals to attend to bodily sensations and report bodily symptoms (e.g., Aronson, Barrett, & Quigley, 2001; Barsky & Borus, 1999; Costa & McCrae, 1987). In addition, participants with idiopathic environmental intolerances, defined as a subjective odour-mediated hypersensitivity to chemicals, likely score high in somatosensory amplification and trait anxiety (Bailer, Witthöft, Bayerl, & Rist, 2007).

Correspondence: Keiko Ishii, Department of Cognitive and Psychological Sciences, Graduate School of Informatics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan. E-mail: ishiik@i.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Received 5 January 2018; revision 18 July 2018; accepted 22 July 2018.

To date, cultural differences in somatosensory amplification have not been tested. However, related evidence has suggested that cultural differences may exist. For instance, Tsai, Simeonova, and Watanabe (2004) demonstrated that Chinese Americans who were less acculturated to American culture were more likely than were European Americans to more frequently use somatic words in their verbal emotional expression. Related to this, Kanagawa et al. (2001) showed that Japanese are more likely than were Americans to describe themselves in terms of physical attributes and appearance. Moreover, Chinese are more likely than are Westerners to emphasize somatic symptoms of depression, although this tendency is less consistent than is another tendency whereby Westerners are more likely than are Chinese to emphasize psychological symptoms of depression (Ryder et al., 2008; for a recent review, also see Kirmayer & Ryder, 2016). These findings have suggested that East Asians are more likely than are Westerners to report bodily sensations and put weight on bodily states.

On the other hand, evidence suggests cultural differences in interoceptive accuracy. Ma-Kellams, Blascovich, and McCall (2012) found that Asians were less accurate than were European Americans in perceiving their own visceral states (e.g., heart rate). Chentsova-Dutton and Dzokoto (2014) found that West Africans showed higher levels of interoceptive awareness whereas they were less accurate in perceiving their own visceral states than were European Americans. Although somatic awareness and interoceptive accuracy are distinct concepts in that the former focuses on how often people report bodily sensations while the latter focuses on one's ability to detect bodily changes—and while the findings on cultural differences in somatic awareness and interoceptive accuracy are limited—these differences might be explained by cultural differences in attentional styles. Numerous studies on culture and cognition have demonstrated that as compared with North Americans, East Asians perceive and think more holistically, considering the surrounding context in understanding an object (e.g., Nisbett et al., 2001). A recent study has found that Japanese are more likely than are European Canadians to holistically perceive a target person's emotion by attending to the body expression and considering its consistency or inconsistency with the facial expression (Bjornsdottir, Tskhay, Ishii, & Rule, 2017). Whereas this holistically attentional style might lead East Asians to focus more on externally contextual cues, take more of a third-person perspective, and accordingly emphasize more bodily cues, it also might lead them to be less attentive to their internal states and thus less accurate in their perception of interoceptive states, as compared to Westerners.

Based on the previous findings, the present research expected that Japanese would exhibit higher somatosensory amplification as compared to Americans. Moreover,

this research explored whether the associations of somatosensory amplification with psychological distress, including negative affect and anxiety, would vary across cultures. Decreased attention to internal states in the Japanese, which is assumed by their attentional style, may weaken the effect of state affects in their somatic awareness. Accordingly, the associations of somatosensory amplification with psychological distress might become weaker in Japanese than in Americans.

Method

Participants

Data on the American participants were drawn from the second wave of the Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS II) study. MIDUS I was a nationally representative telephone and mail survey conducted in 1995 to 1996 with more than 7,000 American participants aged 25 to 74 years. MIDUS II was conducted in 2004 to 2006 as a follow-up study to MIDUS I. The present study focused on a subsample of MIDUS II participants ($n = 1,052$) who completed an additional session of biomarker assessment in which the variables of perceived stress and social anxiety were included. Data on Japanese participants were drawn from the Midlife in Japan (MIDJA) study, which was conducted in 2008 with more than 1,000 participants aged 30 to 79 years in the Tokyo metropolitan area. Japanese participants completed a self-administered questionnaire, including measures that paralleled those in MIDUS II. The present study focused on a sample of the MIDJA participants ($n = 1,027$) (see Ryff et al. [2015] for more details of MIDUS and MIDJA studies).

Measures

Somatosensory amplification. The measure of somatosensory amplification was collected as part of self-administered questionnaires in both the MIDUS II and the MIDJA studies. It was assessed with a five-item scale consisting of “I am often aware of various things happening within my body,” “Sudden loud noises really bother me,” “I hate to be too hot or too cold,” “I am quick to sense hunger contractions in my stomach,” and “I have a low tolerance for pain” (Barsky et al., 1988). Participants rated their level of somatosensory amplification on a rating scale of 1 (*Not at all true*), 2 (*A little bit true*), 3 (*Moderately true*), and 4 (*Extremely true*). The mean score was computed for each participant. Cronbach's α s were .52 and .59 for Americans and Japanese, respectively. The low internal consistency partly resulted from the fact that some of the interitem correlations with the item “I am often aware of various things happening within my body” were quite low in

both cultures. Although the α s remained low if the item was excluded (Americans: .53, Japanese: .56), a single-factor model had a better fit to the data after the item was excluded in both cultures, Americans: $\chi^2 = 0.56$, $p = .76$, Comparative Fit Index (CFI) = 1.00, root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.00; Japanese: $\chi^2 = 3.09$, $p = .21$, CFI = 0.997, RMSEA = 0.023, as compared to when the original five items were used, Americans: $\chi^2 = 35.95$, $p < .001$, CFI = 0.907, RMSEA = 0.077; Japanese: $\chi^2 = 22.34$, $p < .001$, CFI = 0.959, RMSEA = 0.059. Data from the four items were thus used to test the predictions in the following analyses.

Negative affect. Participants in MIDUS II and MIDJA were asked to rate how much of the time during the past 30 days they had felt each emotion on a scale of 1 (*None of the time*), 2 (*A little of the time*), 3 (*Some of the time*), 4 (*Most of the time*), and 5 (*All of the time*) (Mroczek & Kolarz, 1998). The participants rated six items on negative affect (“so sad nothing could cheer you up,” “nervous,” “restless or fidgety,” “hopeless,” “that everything was an effort,” and “worthless”). The mean score was computed for each participant. Cronbach’s α s were .85 and .86 for Americans and Japanese, respectively.

Perceived stress. A 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983) was used (e.g., “felt that you were unable to control the important things in your life,” “found that you could not cope with all the things that you had to do,” and “felt difficulties were piling up so high that you could not overcome them”). Participants were asked to rate how often they felt uncontrollable, unpredictable, and overloaded in the past month on a scale of 1 (*Never*), 2 (*Almost never*), 3 (*Sometimes*), 4 (*Fairly often*), and 5 (*Very often*). The sum of scores was computed for each participant. Cronbach’s α s were .86 and .76 for Americans and Japanese, respectively.

Social anxiety. The Social Anxiety Scale (Fresco et al., 2001) consisted of nine items. Participants were presented with situations (e.g., “Talking to people in authority,” “Going to a party,” and “Talking with people you do not know very well”) and asked to rate how much fear or anxiety they generally feel for each situation on a scale of 1 (*None*), 2 (*Mild*), 3 (*Moderate*), and 4 (*Severe*). The mean score was computed for each participant. Cronbach’s α s were .85 and .89 for Americans and Japanese, respectively.

A latent factor of negative affective states, with negative affect, perceived stress, and social anxiety as indicators, was created to test the predictions in the following

analyses. An explanatory factor analysis suggested the validity of a one-factor model in both cultures (for factor loadings of negative affect, perceived stress, and social anxiety, Americans: .66, .71, and .55; Japanese: .78, .80, and .49).

Control variables. Age, gender, subjective and objective social status, neuroticism, and chronic health conditions were controlled to test the predictions, as they could have confounding effects on the association of negative affective states with somatosensory amplification. To measure their subjective social status, participants were presented with a picture of a 10-rung ladder (1 = lowest rung, 10 = highest rung) and asked to place themselves on the ladder based on where they stood as compared with other people in their community (adopted from Adler et al., 1994). As a marker of objective social status, participants’ educational attainment was assessed on a scale of 1 (*8th grade, junior high school*) to 7 (*attended or graduated from graduate school*). The neuroticism personality trait was assessed with four adjectives (“Moody,” “Worrying,” “Nervous,” and “Calm”). Participants rated the extent to which each of the adjectives described them on a scale of 1 (*Not at all*), 2 (*A little*), 3 (*Some*), and 4 (*A lot*). Cronbach’s α s were .76 and .51 for Americans and Japanese, respectively. Finally, MIDUS II and MIDJA participants were asked to report their chronic health conditions. They were presented with a list of 30 chronic conditions (e.g., asthma, stroke, and chronic sleep problems) and asked to check off the ones that they had experienced in the past 12 months. As the total number of chronic conditions checked was positively skewed, it was log-transformed for each participant.

Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics for the variables for each cultural group and the cultural differences between them. As expected, somatosensory amplification was significantly higher in Japanese than in Americans, $F(1, 2069) = 286.6$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .12$. Moreover, neuroticism, negative affect, and perceived stress also were significantly higher in Japanese than in Americans. There was no cultural difference in either social anxiety or chronic disorders. Furthermore, somatosensory amplification was significantly positively correlated with negative affect, perceived stress, social anxiety, neuroticism, and chronic disorders in both cultures (Table 2).

To examine the relationship between somatosensory amplification and negative affective states, a structural equation model was constructed based on the lavaan package in R. First, a model in which somatosensory amplification is predicted by negative affective states as

Table 1
Descriptive Statistics for the Variables for Each Cultural Group and Cultural Differences in Them

Variable	Americans		Japanese		Cultural Difference
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	
Age	55.26	11.79	54.36	14.14	$F = 2.47, p = .12$
Gender (%female)	54.8		50.8		$\chi^2 = 3.21, p = .07$
Subjective socioeconomic status	4.41	1.72	4.97	2.11	$F = 42.50, p = .001$
Educational attainment	5.09	1.58	4.04	1.65	$F = 219.5, p < .001$
Neuroticism	2.03	0.63	2.11	0.56	$F = 8.93, p = .003$
Somatosensory amplification	2.25	0.58	2.66	0.53	$F = 286.6, p < .001$
Negative affect	1.49	0.55	1.69	0.65	$F = 57.68, p < .001$
Perceived stress	21.68	6.16	26.11	5.77	$F = 283.4, p < .001$
Social anxiety	1.84	0.53	1.81	0.55	$F = 1.11, p = .29$
Chronic disorders (log)	0.42	0.70	0.44	0.76	$F = 2.16, p = .14$

Table 2
Correlations Among Related Variables for Each Cultural Group

Variable	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1. Somatosensory amplification	–	.30***	.23***	.22***	.29***	.17***
2. Negative affect	.33***	–	.62***	.38***	.47***	.30***
3. Perceived stress	.28***	.47***	–	.39***	.46***	.21***
4. Social anxiety	.27***	.37***	.40***	–	.26***	.13***
5. Neuroticism	.30***	.59***	.47***	.34***	–	.18***
6. Chronic disorders	.25***	.37***	.20***	.17***	.24***	–

Note. Correlations for Americans ($n = 1,052$) are shown below the diagonal, and correlations for Japanese ($n = 1,027$) are shown above the diagonal.

*** $p < .001$.

well as control variables was initially tested for each culture. However, the model fit was poor in both cultures, Americans: $\chi^2 = 828.39$, CFI = 0.553, RMSEA = 0.125; Japanese: $\chi^2 = 638.50$, CFI = 0.647, RMSEA = 0.113. A modified model (Figure 1) was then constructed based on modification indices in which paths between negative affective states and control variables and a covariance between perceived stress and social anxiety were included, which showed acceptable fit in both cultures, Americans: $\chi^2 = 134.65$, CFI = 0.947, RMSEA = 0.047; Japanese: $\chi^2 = 198.66$, CFI = 0.906, RMSEA = 0.063. Moreover, a multigroup model with regression coefficients set equal across cultures was compared to its unconstrained model to test if links between the variables differed across cultures. The analysis showed a significant difference, $\chi^2_{diff} = 31.79$, $df = 13$, $p = .003$. In both cultures, higher levels of neuroticism and chronic disorders were associated with higher levels of negative affective states, which led to higher levels of somatosensory amplification. However, the direct paths of neuroticism and chronic disorders to somatosensory amplification were nonsignificant in the Americans,

$\beta_s = -.20$ and $.05$, whereas they were still significant in Japanese, $\beta_s = .27$ and $.39$, despite the significant effect of negative affective states on somatosensory amplification. Furthermore, as predicted, a multigroup model comparison also showed that the standardized regression coefficient of negative affective states was statistically greater in the Americans, $\beta = .71$, than in Japanese, $\beta = .40$, $\chi^2_{diff} = 8.26$, $df = 1$, $p = .004$.

Discussion

Comparing representative samples of Americans and Japanese, this research examined whether somatosensory amplification would vary across cultures. It also explored the associations between somatosensory amplification and factors related to psychological distress, which might differ across cultures. Previous research has suggested that reflecting East Asians' holistic attentional style, they are likely to emphasize more somatic awareness and perceive their internal visceral states less accurately as compared with Westerners. Extending the previous findings, this research offers the first evidence




Figure 1 Negative affective states mediated the paths of neuroticism and chronic disorders to somatosensory amplification in the US and Japan. Regression coefficients (left: the US, right: Japan) are standardized ($***p < .001$, $**p < .01$, $*p < .05$). Paths of the other control variables (i.e., age, gender, subjective SES and education attainment) to negative affective states and a covariance between perceived stress and social anxiety, which are included in this model, are not presented in this figure for simplification.

that Japanese are higher in somatosensory amplification as compared to Americans, and the association between somatosensory amplification and negative affective states is weaker in Japanese than in Americans.

As found in previous studies, somatosensory amplification was positively associated with negative affective states, neuroticism, and chronic disorders in both cultures. However, the pattern drawn from the structural equation model suggests that negative affective states fully mediate the effects of neuroticism and chronic disorders on somatosensory amplification in the United States whereas the mediation is partial in Japan. While the pattern suggests that negative affective states play a greater role as a mediator in the United States than in Japan, it also may suggest that especially in Japanese cultural contexts, neuroticism and chronic disorders foster holistic perceptions and thought leading to attention to bodily cues. At a minimum, the effect of neuroticism on holistic attention is indirectly supported by genetic evidence that East Asians who have the G allele of the serotonin 1A receptor polymorphism, which is characterized by reduced serotonergic transmission and is linked to neuroticism, tend to show increased attention to the context (H. S. Kim et al., 2010). Moreover, neuroticism (Stokes, 1985) and chronic disorders (Penninx et al., 1999) are likely connected to loneliness. The connection may foster people's fear of isolation, especially in the Japanese culture, where interdependence and harmonious relationships with others are highly valued. Evidence has suggested that a fear of isolation accounts for cultural differences in terms of sensitivity to context. People feeling a higher level of fear of isolation are more sensitive to context (K. Kim & Markman, 2006). Future

research will need to address these speculations on the relationships with somatosensory amplification.

There are several limitations in the present research. First, the internal consistency of the Somatosensory Amplification Scale was low in both cultures. This tendency has been reported in many studies, reflecting that the scale includes items that are associated not only with interoception but also with exteroception (Köteles & Withöft, 2017). Although the Scale is the only one available for measuring somatosensory amplification, this conceptual ambiguity might result in unclear conclusions on what aspects of somatosensory amplification cultures influence. Second, although East Asians' holistic attention has been assumed in the predictions, this research could not include a direct measurement of individuals' attentional styles. As in Ma-Kellams et al. (2012) and Ryder et al. (2008), demonstrating the role of individuals' attentional styles as a mediator of cultural differences in somatosensory amplification will be needed in future work. Third, this research was based on an East–West comparison, although it relied on representative samples of Japanese and Americans. As in Chentsova-Dutton and Dzokoto (2014), future research in another non-Western culture would be helpful for generalizing the current findings. Fourth, because this research relied on a cross-sectional survey, the cultural effect in somatosensory amplification could not be traced longitudinally. Cross-cultural examination of the longitudinal influence would enhance our understanding of the nature of somatosensory amplification and the underlying mechanisms influenced by cultural contexts. Finally, this research examined only a self-reported measurement of somatosensory amplification. Due to the cross-sectional design of the survey, it

was not possible to exclude retrospective bias, which may have been present in the participants' self-reports. Employing other measurements—including a more objective one with physiological methods—along with the self-reported measurement would be useful for testing the hypotheses in future work.

Despite its limitations, this study advances our understanding of somatosensory amplification from the perspective of cultural influences. Consistent with the previous findings, East Asians' emphasis on somatic awareness resulting from their holistic type of attention revealed a higher level of somatosensory amplification in Japanese than in Americans. Moreover, reflecting their decreased attention to internal states, the association between somatosensory amplification and negative affective states became weaker in Japanese than in Americans. The results are solid and worthwhile, as this research drew on representative samples in both cultures. Thus, the findings contribute to further understanding the cultural influences in psychological processes, especially by focusing on an untested aspect related to self-perception, cognition, and health. Further investigations based on the directions this research suggests will provide a better understanding of cultural influences in terms of somatosensory amplification.

Conflict of Interest

There was no financial support for conducting this research, and I declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Adler, N. E., Boyce, T., Chesney, M. A., Cohen, S., Folkman, S., Kahn, R. L., & Syme, S. L. (1994). Socioeconomic status and health: The challenge of the gradient. *American Psychologist*, *49*, 15–24. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.49.1.15
- Aronson, K. R., Barrett, L. F., & Quigley, K. S. (2001). Feeling your body or feeling badly: Evidence for the limited validity of the Somatosensory Amplification Scale as an index of somatic sensitivity. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *51*, 387–394. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(01)00216-1
- Bailer, J., Witthöft, M., Bayerl, C., & Rist, F. (2007). Syndrome stability and psychological predictors of symptom severity in idiopathic environmental intolerance and somatoform disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, *37*, 271–281. doi:10.1017/S0033291706009354
- Barsky, A. J., & Borus, J. F. (1999). Functional somatic syndromes. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *130*, 910–921. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-130-11-199906010-00016
- Barsky, A. J., Goodson, J. D., Lane, R. S., & Cleary, P. D. (1988). The amplification of somatic symptoms. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *50*, 510–519. doi:10.1097/00006842-198809000-00007
- Bjornsdottir, R. T., Tskhay, K. O., Ishii, K., & Rule, N. O. (2017). Cultural differences in perceiving and processing emotions: A holistic approach to person perception. *Culture and Brain*, *5*, 105–124. doi:10.1007/s40167-017-0053-z
- Chentsova-Dutton, Y. E., & Dzokoto, V. (2014). Listen to your heart: The cultural shaping of interoceptive awareness and accuracy. *Emotion*, *14*, 666–678. doi:10.1037/a0036193
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, *24*, 385–396. doi:10.2307/2136404
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1987). Neuroticism, somatic complaints, and disease: Is the bark worse than the bite? *Journal of Personality*, *55*, 299–316. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.1987.tb00438.x
- De Gucht, V., Fischler, B., & Heiser, W. (2004). Neuroticism, alexithymia, negative affect, and positive affect as determinants of medically unexplained symptoms. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *36*, 1655–1667. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2003.06.012
- Fresco, D. M., Coles, M. E., Heimberg, R. G., Liebowitz, M. R., Hami, S., Stein, M. B., & Goetz, D. (2001). The Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale: A comparison of the psychometric properties of self-report and clinician-administered formats. *Psychological Medicine*, *31*, 1025–1035. doi:10.1017/S0033291701004056
- Kanagawa, C., Cross, S. E., & Markus, H. R. (2001). “Who am I?” The cultural psychology of the conceptual self. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *27*, 90–103. doi:10.1177/0146167201271008
- Kim, H. S., Sherman, D. K., Taylor, S. E., Sasaki, J. Y., Chu, T. Q., Ryu, C., ... Xu, J. (2010). Culture, serotonin receptor polymorphism and locus of attention. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *5*, 212–218. doi:10.1093/scan/bsp040
- Kim, K., & Markman, A. B. (2006). Differences in fear of isolation as an explanation of cultural differences: Evidence from memory and reasoning. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *42*, 350–364. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2005.06.005
- Kirmayer, L. J., & Ryder, A. G. (2016). Culture and psychopathology. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, *8*, 143–148. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.10.020
- Kitayama, S., Park, J., Boylan, J. M., Miyamoto, Y., Levine, C. S., Markus, H. R., ... Ryff, C. D. (2015). Expression of anger and ill health in two cultures: An examination of inflammation and cardiovascular risk. *Psychological Science*, *26*, 211–220. doi:10.1177/0956797614561268
- Köteles, F., & Witthöft, M. (2017). Somatosensory amplification—An old construct from a new perspective. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *101*, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.07.011
- Ma-Kellams, C., Blascovich, J., & McCall, C. (2012). Culture and the body: East–West differences in visceral perception. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *102*, 718–728. doi:10.1037/a0027010
- Mroczek, D. K., & Kolarz, C. M. (1998). The effect of age on positive and negative affect: A developmental perspective on happiness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *75*, 1333–1349. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.75.5.1333
- Nisbett, R. E., Peng, K., Choi, I., & Norenzayan, A. (2001). Culture and systems of thought: Holistic versus analytic cognition. *Psychological Review*, *108*, 291–310. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.108.2.291
- Penninx, B. W., Van Tilburg, T., Kriegsman, D. M., Boeke, A. J. P., Deeg, D. J., & van Eijk, J. T. M. (1999). Social network, social support, and loneliness in older persons with different chronic

- diseases. *Journal of Aging and Health*, *11*, 151–168. doi:10.1177/089826439901100202
- Ryder, A. G., Yang, J., Zhu, X., Yao, S., Yi, J., Heine, S. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2008). The cultural shaping of depression: Somatic symptoms in China, psychological symptoms in North America? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *117*, 300–313. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.117.2.300
- Ryff, C. D., Miyamoto, Y., Boylan, J. M., Coe, C. L., Karasawa, M., Kawakami, N., . . . & Park, J. (2015). Culture, inequality, and health: Evidence from the MIDUS and MIDJA comparison. *Culture and Brain*, *3*, 1–20. doi:10.1007/s40167-015-0025-0
- Stokes, J. P. (1985). The relation of social network and individual difference variables to loneliness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *48*, 981–990. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.48.4.981
- Tsai, J. L., Simeonova, D. I., & Watanabe, J. T. (2004). Somatic and social: Chinese Americans talk about emotion. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *30*, 1226–1238. doi:10.1177/0146167204264014